Case 5:08-cv-00122-JF	Document 28	Filed 03/12/2008	Page 1 of 13		
Terrence R. O'Connor (State B NOLAND, HAMERLY, ETH A Professional Corporation 333 Salinas Street Post Office Box 2510 Salinas, California 93902-25 Telephone: (831) 424-1414 Facsimile: (831) 424-1975 Attorneys for Defendant JAC	ENNE & HOSS 10 4				
Attorneys for Botondam vivo					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
NC	RTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNI	A		
	SAN JOS	SE DIVISION			
ASA FARMS, INC., a Califocorporation and BRAGA RA Plainti vs. FRESH 'N HEALTHY, INC. corporation, MARK WILLIA	NCH, ffs, , a Delaware	BY DEFENDANT J VIOLATIONS OF	ER TO COMPLAINT JACK PARSON FOR THE PERISHABLE COMMODITIES ACT		
individual; JACK PARSON, an individual; CHAD HAGEN, an individual; SANTOS MARTINEZ, an individual; DON BEAM, an individual; DARRYL NICHOLSON, an individual;	an INEZ, an individual;	Judge: Honorable Jo Complaint Filed: Jan			
RICHARD MAY, an individ THOMAS COLOGNA, an ir PRESTWICK PARTNERS, California limited liability consoling to SOLSTICE VENTURE PARTLC, a business entity form individual; VFINANCE INVESTMENTS, a business unknown; FMP VINEYARE New Mexico limited liability BUTTONWOOD OPTINO, business entity form unknown a business	ual; ndividual; LLC, a mpany; LTNERS, unknown; n entity form o, LLC, a company; LLC, a n; DW, LLC, wn; M SOLAZZO TRUST; CWALD ST, and	TRIAL DATE: NO	NE ASSIGNED.		
Defen	dants.				

19226\001\392443.1:31208

NOLAND, HAMERLY, ÉTIENNE & HOSS Attornins at Law Salinas, California

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant JACK PARSON ("Defendant"), severing himself from all other answering Defendants, answers the Complaint on file as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- In response to Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that this court has 1. iurisdiction over PACA claims.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 2. in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 3. in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint. 4.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint. 5.
- Defendant admits that he is a shareholder of Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy. 6. Defendant denies generally and specifically the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 6.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint. 7.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint. 8.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint. 9.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint. 10.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint. 11.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint. 12.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint. 13.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint. 14.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint. 15.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint. 16.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint. 17.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint. 18.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint. 19.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

20.	Defendant admits the allegations	contained in Paragraph 20	of the Complaint.
-----	----------------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------

- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint. 21.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint. 22.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 23of the Complaint. 23.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint. 24.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint. 25.
- Paragraph 26 of the Complaint does not call for a response by this Defendant. 26.
- Defendant denies that he was an "insider" as described in Paragraph 27 of the 27. Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the remaining subject matters set forth in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 28. in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 29. in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract Against Defendant Fresh)

- In response to Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 30. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 29 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint. 31.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 32. in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint. In further response to

19226\001\392443.1:31208

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Paragraph 32, Defendant alleges that as of July 31	, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longe
employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.	

- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 33. in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 33, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 34. in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 34, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 35. in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 35, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 36. in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 36, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 37. in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 37, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	38.	Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth
in Par	agraph 3	8 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each
and ev	ery alle	gation contained in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint. In further response to
Parag	raph 38,	Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer
emplo	yed by l	Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.

- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 39. in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 39, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 40. in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 40, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 41. in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 41, Defendant alleges that as of July 31, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Enforcement of Statutory Trust Provisions of PACA Against All Defendants)

42. In response to Paragraph 42 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 41 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.

27

28 111

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint.
- 45. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint.
- 46. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint.
- 47. In response to Paragraph 47 of the Complaint, Defendant denies generally and specifically that he is a statutory trustee. Furthermore, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the other subject matters set forth in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every other allegation contained in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint.
- 48. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint.
- 49. Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 49 of the Complaint.
- 50. Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint.

26 ///

///

27 ///

28 ///

19226\001\392443.1:31208

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Violation of Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act: Failure to Account and Pay Promptly Against All Defendants)

- In response to Paragraph 51 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 51. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 50 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 52. in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 53. in Paragraph 53 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 53 of the Complaint.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Injunctive and/or Temporary Restraining Order Against All Defendants)

- In response to Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 54. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 53 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- In response to Paragraph 55 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that the 55. provisions of PACA, specifically 7 U.S.C. Section 449a, et seq., and 499e(c)(1) through (4), inclusive, speak for themselves.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 56. in Paragraph 56 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 56 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 57. in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 58. in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 59. in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 60. in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint.
- The response to Paragraph 61 does not require an admission or denial. Defendant 61. asserts that an ex parte order for an accounting and injunctive relief to compel turnover of all amounts subject to the PACA trust is unnecessary.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 62. Paragraph 62 of the Complaint.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Unjust Enrichment Against All Defendants)

- In response to Paragraph 63 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 63. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 62 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 64. Paragraph 64 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 65. Paragraph 65 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 66. Paragraph 66 of the Complaint.

27

28 111

8

10

NOLAND, HAMERLY, ETIENNE & HOSS ATTORNERS ALLAW SAULANS, CALIFORNIA

111

///

- 67. In response to Paragraph 67 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 66 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- 68. Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 68 of the Complaint.
- 69. Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 69 of the Complaint.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Declaratory Relief Against All Defendants)

- 70. In response to Paragraph 70 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 69 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- 71. In response to Paragraph 71 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that "[a]n actual controversy has arisen and now exists relating to the rights and duties of the parties herein. . ". Defendant denies generally and specifically the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint.
- 72. Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 72 of the Complaint.
- 73. The response to Paragraph 73 does not require an admission or denial. Defendant asserts that an ex parte order for an accounting and injunctive relief to compel turnover of all amounts subject to the PACA trust is unnecessary.

///

19226\001\392443.1:31208

Q

9

NOLAND, HAMBELY, ETIENNE & HOSS ATTORNEYS ATLAW SALINAN, CALIFORNIA

16

27

28

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AS AND FOR A FIRST, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's complaint, and each cause of action contained therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any there were or are, were proximately caused or contributed to by the carelessness, negligence or fault of persons or entities other than this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has waived any breach of contract or warranty alleged in its complaint.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action for unjust enrichment against this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR AN FIFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, this answering Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action for conversion damages against this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to each and every cause of action set forth in the complaint and the whole thereof, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff is not entitled to the relief requested in the complaint because such relief would work a substantial hardship on the defendant relative to the benefit plaintiff would gain by the relief.

///

///

///

8 ///

19226\001\392443.1:31208

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to each and every cause of action set forth in the complaint and the whole thereof, Defendant alleges he is not now, nor never has been a "responsible party" as defined in 7 U.S.C. 499(a) or an officer or director of Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy, Inc., and, therefore, is not liable for Plaintiff's alleged losses under PACA.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Jack Parson prays judgment as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by reason of their Complaint on file herein;
- 2. That Defendant be awarded his costs of suit herein; and
- 3. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

Dated: March __, 2008 NOLAND, HAMERLY, ETIENNE & HOSS A Professional Corporation

By /s/ Terrence R. O'Connor
Terrence R. O'Connor
Attorneys for Defendant JACK PARSON

	1	ASA Farms, Inc., et al. v. Fresh 'N Healthy, et al. Case No. C08-00122 JF						
	2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
	3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)						
	4	COUNTY OF MONTEREY)						
	5	,						
	6	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Monterey County. I am over the age						
	7	of 18 years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is: 333 Salmas						
	8	Street, Post Office Box 2510, Salinas, CA 93902-2510.						
	9	On the date below, I served the attached document(s) entitled: AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE PERISHABLE AGRICULTURAL						
	10	COMMODITIES ACT ("PACA"), on the following named person(s) in said action at:						
	11	Kelly McCarthy Sutherland, Esq. Robert Anthony Franklin, Esq.						
	12	Lombardo & Gilles Murray & Murray 318 Cayuga Street 19400 Stevens Creek Blvd., Ste. 200						
2	13	Salinas, CA 93901 Cupertino, CA 95014-2548 kelly@lomgil.com rfranklin@murraylaw.com						
LAW PRNIA	14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ASA Farms and Braga Attorneys for Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy Ranch						
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SALINAS, CALIFORNIA	15	Effie F. Anastassiou						
NOLAIND, HAMIEKE I ATTORNIN SALINAS, C.	Anastassious & Associates 16 242 Capitol Street							
O C. Arin	17	P. O. Box 2210 Salinas, CA 93902						
ζ.	18	effieesq@salinasaglaw.com Attorneys for Oceano Packing Company, LLC						
	19	morneyo yor edeano a annug a any my						
	20	by personal service on the above-named person(s) at the above stated address(es).						
	21	by placing said copy(ies) in a sealed envelope(s), postage thereon fully prepaid, and placed for collection and processing for mailing following the business's						
		ordinary practice with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary						
	22	course of business with the United States Postal Service at Salinas, California, addressed as stated above.						
	23							
	24	by overnight delivery on the above named party(ies) in said action, by placing a true and correct copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in a designated area						
	25	for outgoing, same-day pickup by at the offices of Noland, Hamerly, Etienne & Hoss for overnight delivery, billed to Noland, Hamerly,						
	26	Etienne & Hoss, and addressed as set forth above.						
	27	·						
	28							

19226\001\392546.1:31208

Document 28

Filed 03/12/2008

Page 13 of 13

Case 5:08-cv-00122-JF

19226\001\392546.1:31208

NOLAND, HAMERLY, ETIENNE & HOSS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SALINAS, CALIPORNIA